

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/715,022	Applicant(s) SOEMANTRI, ALBERTUS	
	Examiner Benjamin C. Lee	Art Unit 2632	

All Participants:

(1) Benjamin C. Lee.

(2) Mr. Locher.

Date of Interview: 15 March 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
Applicable 35 USC 112 second paragraph indefinite rejections.

 Claims discussed:
 3, 5, and 11-13

 Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant authorized the attached Examiner's Amendment to correct antecedent basis errors in claims 3, 5, and 11-12, and furthermore to rewrite claim 13 as new claim 14 to correct the inadvertent misuse of Jepson claim format in claim 13, to place application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner's Amendment for detail .